Atropine-induced non-sustained polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: A rare case

Nadir bir olgu: Atropinin indüklediği sürekli olmayan polimorfik ventriküler taşıkardi
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ABSTRACT
A 40 years old male with history of unexplained recurrent presyncope and palpitation episodes referred to cardiology department. Patient had no past medical history. Subsequently, electrophysiology study was performed to detect any underlying atrioventricular nodal disease or inducible tachyarrhythmias. During this period, 1.0 mg of atropine was injected intravenously to performed stimulation and patient suddenly developed polymorphic ventricular tachycardia that could not be terminated with overdrive pacing. Ventricular tachycardia was terminated spontaneously, two minutes later. J Clin Exp Invest 2014; 5 (3): 449-451
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INTRODUCTION
Cardiac functions are under the influence of autonomic nervous system. There is sufficient evidence that proves an imbalance in this autonomic regulation predisposes to electrical instability and ventricular tachyarrhythmias [1]. Atropine is commonly used in the treatment of bradyarrhythmias and in electrophysiologic studies. After atropine usage changes of autonomic balances on heart may result in ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Here, we report a case of polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) induced by atropine with hemodynamic deterioration and subsequent recovery to normal hemodynamic status.

CASE REPORT
A 40 years old male with history of unexplained recurrent presyncope and palpitation episodes referred to cardiology department. Presyncope was preceded by palpitations, shortness of breath, and atypical chest pain. Patient had no past medical history. On admission, vital signs were stable with an unremarkable physical examination. Electrocardiogram showed normal sinus rhythm at 74 beats per minute with normal intervals (Figure 1). All cardiac work up for presyncope including echocardiogram, 24-hour Holter monitoring, and tilt table test were in normal limits. Subsequently, electrophysiology study was performed to detect any underlying atrioventricular (AV) nodal disease or inducible tachyarrhythmias. Neither atrial nor VT could be induced by programmed atrial and ventricular stimulation. Wenckebach point of AV node was 310 ms. During this period, 1.0 mg of atropine was injected intravenously to repeated stimulation and patient developed polymorphic VT that could not be terminated with overdrive pacing (Figure 2, and 3). Subsequently, the patient became hypotensive, felt
lightheadness and dizziness; however, consciousness was not lost. We administered amiodarone 300 mg intravenously. Ventricular tachycardia was terminated, two minutes later (Figure 4). Bedside echocardiogram yielded normal cardiac structures without any pericardial effusion. At the same session, coronary angiogram was performed revealing normal coronary arteries. Later, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging ruled out any cardiomyopathy.

**DISCUSSION**

Most of the cardiac sudden deaths are due to malignant ventricular arrhythmias [2]. The appearance of ventricular tachyarrhythmias including VT and ventricular fibrillation following atropine therapy during the course of heart rate acceleration has been described in the presence of significant ischemic...
heart disease [2,3]. There is clinical evidence that reduced vagal activity is associated with increased risk for life-threatening arrhythmias after myocardial infarction, and increased vagal tone may protective effect against ventricular arrhythmias [5]. It has been reported that vagal nerve stimulation exerts an anti-arrhythmic effect in rat model of myocardial infarction [6]. In a study, a dose of 0.8-1.2 mg intravenous atropine was demonstrated to induce arrhythmias in patients with or without heart disease [7].

An enhanced sympathetic drive of the heart and catecholamines play a major role in the pathophysiology of ventricular arrhythmias, whereas an augmented vagal tone and strong vagal stimuli depress sinus node activity and AV nodal propagation [8]. Fei et al. showed idiopathic VT episodes was attributed to a decrease in parasympathetic activity rather than an enhanced sympathetic activity [1]. Atropine-induced VT can be explained by both the initial decrease in parasympathetic activity and an unopposed sympathetic activity.

The exact mechanism responsible for the production of ventricular irritability after atropine administration is not entirely clear. Atropine induced tachycardia leading to an increase in myocardial oxygen demand appears to be one possible explanation especially in an ischemic myocardium. The fibrillation threshold is lowered and the disparity of refractory periods becomes greater at faster rates when the myocardium is ischemic. This increase in disparity will lead to slow, nonhomogenous spread of impulses, resulting in reentrant activity and eventually ventricular fibrillation. Moreover the efflux of potassium from the myocardial cells associated with tachycardia may have an important role in promoting ventricular irritability by bringing the resting potential down towards the threshold potential. Increased extracellular potassium may also depress conduction and induce reentry [3,9]. The increased heart rate may cause ventricular extrasystoles even in the absence of coronary artery disease [10].

Past dog studies in which the His bundle has been exposed by careful dissection suggest that vagal stimulation or acetylcholine may have an effect on specialized conduction fibers at and below the bundle of His. Atropine by removing the parasympathetic system’s inhibitory effect on the electrical pathways might possibly cause unopposed sympathetic activity [9]. This unopposed sympathetic activity may result in ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Considering the above mechanisms beta blockers can be used in patients with sensitive sympathetic drive to prevent VTs.

In conclusion, spontaneously developed polymorphic VT probably developed due to the unopposed effect of sympathetic nervous system on heart which was caused by atropine injection. It is essential to emphasize that increased sympathetic drive to the heart is arrhythmogenic, whereas vagal activity may exert a protective effect. The apparent provocation of arrhythmias by atropine observed in the present report may be an infrequent entity and its judicious use should be accompanied by close monitoring and intravenous B-blocker use if necessary. Patients may have favorable outcomes with beta blockers.
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